



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/000,433	10/31/01	Tomizuka, K	014643-012110US

EXAMINER	
Q. Janice Li	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1632	

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Q. Janice Li, PTO (3) Nils Lonberg, inventor
(2) Deborah Crouch, PTO (4) Paul Fehlner, Appl. Rep.

Date of interview 9/21/2004

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Taylor et al Nucleic Acids
Research 1992, 20: 6287-6295

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Proposed amended claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed: USP. 6,632,976, 5,770,429, Tomizuka

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Concerning rejection under §112, 1st, Dr. Lonberg explain the Tc mice having endogenous Loci, the production of human K chimeric Abs, the proposed amendment would obviate other rej. Concerning 102 rej & D.P. rej, since the cited patent does not disclose cross-bred to Tg, the rejections will be withdrawn. Dr. Lonberg explained the unexpected results of cross Tc to Tg concerning 103 rej. It was suggested that Appl. put them in writing in response to the standing action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.